



A46 M5 Junction 9A Routes Options Engagement

Eastern Section Options Comparison

TAAG - Teddington & Alstone A46 Advisory Group Email jan.catbells@gmail.com Website www.taagroup.co.uk

Option A Pros	Option B Pros
<p>Meets all objectives Safety improvements around business accesses at Teddington Hands Avoids need for longer new road and crossing the Tirl Brook flood plain zone west of A435 Lower forecasted cost but similar value for money.</p>	<p>Meets all objectives Avoids incursions into CNL(AONB) and cutting across Special Landscape Area Visual and noise impacts from CNL more easily mitigated with careful planting Fewer negative impacts on Teddington, Travellers community on A435 and Oxenton residents No safety concerns for drivers exiting and entering Teddington village junction on A435 No impacts on business accesses at Teddington Hands No mixing of through and local traffic, including equestrian and farming traffic Roundabout on A46 will potentially allow access for development south of Aston on Carrant Huge opportunity to increase biodiversity along new road; hedgerows, native trees, ponds etc A435 will remain a contingency route in the event of issues on the new road.</p>
Option A Cons	Option B Cons
<p>Impact on hedgerows and trees along A435; leading to possible increased flooding on A435 and negatively impacting biodiversity Greater traffic noise affecting Teddington residents Worse air and light pollution for all bordering A435 Road will need to be elevated in places Crossing the SLA to the east of A435 (protected in Tewkesbury Borough Plan) Footpath across from Teddington to facilities at Teddington Hands severed Visual and noise impacts along the Cotswold National Landscape boundary Incursions into CNL ; protected by planning law Safety issues accessing Teddington and Alstone villages Teddington Playing Field, located very close to new road option; increased pollution near children playing Increased traffic volumes in Alstone and Dixton villages as vehicles avoid busy A435 Huge diversions and delays during build period (less applicable with route B) Less impulse use of facilities at Teddington Hands.</p>	<p>Visual impacts from CNL; mitigated by careful planting Longer length of road More expensive than Option A (similar value for money) No safety improvements to businesses accesses at Teddington; could be improved at a later date.</p>